The New York Housing Compact
Impact of New York's Housing Shortage
Our housing crisis is years in the making

1.2m
jobs created in NY in the decade preceding the pandemic

403k
net new housing units built in NY in the decade preceding the pandemic

800k
new housing units needed over the next decade to meet demand

The mismatch between our high level of job creation and low production of housing for New Yorkers is both a threat to New York’s future and something that we can proactively address through the Housing Compact.

Source: NYS DOL, Up for Growth; US Census Bureau
New York City housing production lags most major cities

New housing units developed per 1,000 residents in major U.S. cities (2011-2020)

Source: US Census Bureau
New York's suburbs lag suburbs across the nation

Nassau, Suffolk, Westchester and Putnam counties each granted fewer building permits per capita than all but a single suburban county across Massachusetts, Connecticut, Southern California, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, or Northern Virginia between 2010 and 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>New Housing Units Per 1,000 Residents, 2012-2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VA Suburbs</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner NJ</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD Suburbs</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer NJ</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYC</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF Suburbs</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Hudson</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA Suburbs</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest CT</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Hudson</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NYC Department of City Planning; US Census Housing Starts; Furman Center
Without new housing, both rent and home prices have risen dramatically across the state.

In NY metro, home prices up 50%, rents 30%

Rest of state, home prices up 50-80%, rents 40-60%

Source: Zillow Home Value Index: smooth, seasonally adjusted measure of the typical home value, reflecting typical value for homes in the 35th to 65th percentile range. Zillow Observed Rent Index: smooth measure of typical observed market rate rent; mean of listed rents that fall into 40th to 60th percentile range for all homes and apartments in a given region.
Residents leaving New York save significantly on their housing costs

In a Fiscal Policy Institute analysis, the researchers found that nearly 1/3 of all net out-migration from New York was to the neighboring states of New Jersey, Connecticut and Pennsylvania, followed by California and Florida.

From a financial perspective, they found that these movers found significant savings in their housing costs, including:

- The typical family that moves out of New York State saves **15 times** more from lower housing costs than they do from lower taxes
- The average mover would save 34% on their mortgage outside of New York, an average of $18,300
- The average mover would save 19% on rental costs outside of New York, an average of $5,300

Source: Fiscal Policy Institute
More than half of all New York renters pay more than 30% of their income in rent

In every region, 40-60% of renter households are paying more than 30% income
This shortage threatens New York's economic future.

2000 to 2019

516k more jobs than homes created in downstate New York

6k More jobs than homes
Hudson Valley

195k More homes than jobs
North NJ

48k More homes than jobs
CT

63k More jobs than homes
LI

447k More homes than homes
NYC

Source: NYC Department of City Planning Geography of Jobs Report
Drivers of New York's Housing Shortage
NYS leads the nation in affordable housing funds and regulation

The State of New York funds more affordable housing per capita than any other state

[Graph showing affordable housing bond funding per capita, 2011-2020]

New York leads the nation in regulated housing

[Pie chart showing New York City rental housing stock]

Source: Rent Guidelines Board, City of New York; US Census Bureau, 2021 New York City Housing and Vacancy survey

Note: Does not include additional investments made by NYC and other localities
According to Wharton Residential Land Use Regulatory Index, the only city with more restrictive zoning than the NYC Metro Area is San Francisco (also facing a housing crisis).

Over 2/3 of the localities in the NYC Metro are classified as “highly regulated” in the Index, meaning they have 3+ entities to approve projects, with average review times from 9 months to 24 months.

"The suburban counties around NYC may have the worst exclusionary zoning in the nation."

Source: Wharton Residential Land Use Regulatory Index
And we know this restrictive zoning drives up housing costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village A</th>
<th>Village B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min lot size 1-5 acres</td>
<td>min lot size 0.1 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ~0.5 - 1 homes/acre</td>
<td>• ~11 homes/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• $2.6M median home price</td>
<td>• $728k median home price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Currently only one rental on</td>
<td>• Currently 10 rentals on market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>market at $19,500 per month</td>
<td>between $2,800 &amp; $4,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly $2M differential in average home price between adjacent villages

Source: Realtor.com, Zillow, Village Codes
## Limits on supply have economic and social costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stymies economic growth</strong></td>
<td>- Studies show restrictive zoning reduced aggregate US GDP by up to 8.9% between 1964 and 2009 than it otherwise would have been – translating to average wages almost $9k lower than they would otherwise have been</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Reduces housing options**     | - Fewer than 4% of housing units nationwide are suitable for people with moderate mobility difficulties (including seniors)  
- Only 7% of new homes constructed nationwide are starter homes, down from 40% in 1980 |
| **Impedes climate goals**       | - Low-density housing produces nearly four times the greenhouse gas emissions of high-density homes  
- Walkable, transit friendly neighborhoods concentrate development in climate friendly ways and relieve pressure on rural conservation areas |
| **Perpetuates racial discrimination** | - Reducing the housing cost gap through looser zoning regulations could narrow the school test-score gap by 4-7 percentile points  
- Low density zoning is strongly correlated with racial segregation, a result of historic red-lining |

Source: Brookings, American Economic Journal, American Progress, Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University, Freddie Mac, University of Texas, Environmental Science & Technology Letters
New York’s housing cycle is broken ...

- New adults can’t find starter homes to move into
- Growing families can’t find homes to expand into
- Seniors can’t find homes to downsize into

... and if we don’t take action, we will continue to see New Yorkers leave the state, endangering our economy and straining our communities.
A Plan to Solve
New York's Housing Shortage
Dramatically increasing housing supply equitably is an economic and affordability imperative

800,000 new homes

Over the next ten years, New York will need an estimated 800,000 new homes – double our rate of growth – in order to make up for decades of underproduction, support a growing economy, and provide housing that’s affordable for New York families.
Expanding housing supply lifts up entire communities

New supply does not increase rents or drive displacement

- For every 10% increase in new construction housing stock, rents decrease by 1% within 500 ft (NYC)
- In a study of the San Francisco housing market, researchers found that building market rate housing was associated with a lower probability that low and moderate-income residents in the neighborhood would experience displacement

Decreased rents filter down the income spectrum

- 23% of units available to very low-income renters in 2013 had filtered down from higher rent categories in 1985
- Among the 2013 affordable units, 19% had higher rents as recently as 2005
- Filtering takes time; it’s not an immediate solution

New supply does not depress property values

- In a study of Chicago home values after TOD zoning reforms, property values rose 15% to 23% as a result of increasing development opportunities

Sources: Xiaodi Li (Furman Center, 2019); Vicki Been, Ingrid Gould Ellen, Katherine O’Brien (Furman Center 2018), Urban Affairs Review
Plan formed after thorough review of other state experiences

- **1960s**
  - **Massachusetts** passes 40B
    - Requires all towns to have minimum 10% of housing be affordable housing

- **1970s**
  - **California** requires extensive planning by regions and towns, but housing enforcement lacks teeth

- **1980s**
  - **New Jersey** required to implement extensive fair share requirements resulting from landmark Mt. Laurel case. All towns must bear their "fair share" of housing growth

- **1990s**
  - **Connecticut** passes 8-30g; similar to 40B; requires towns to meet minimum affordable housing requirement or fast-track housing approval process applies

- **2000s**
  - **Massachusetts** passes incentive based sustainable development/TOD program. Uptake is low

- **2010s**
  - **California** passes the Housing Crisis Act of 2019, which begins an ongoing stretch of enhanced enforcement of existing housing/permitting streamlining laws, adding teeth to the outcomes

- **2020s**
  - **California, Connecticut, Massachusetts** all increase requirements and enforcement on restrictive zoning, including requiring allowing ADUs, requiring TOD in MA, enforcing housing plan growth targets through "builder's remedy" processes and other ongoing actions
Relying solely on incentives has failed in other states; enforcement consistently increased to achieve housing goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>Connecticut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 2005, MA passed 40R, providing incentives to towns that rezoned for TOD</td>
<td>CA has had city/county planning requirements for many years</td>
<td>CT implemented a planning support fund for all localities to encourage planning for equitable distribution of growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While lower-income communities further out participated, no suburbs near Boston, the targeted communities, participated or made any changes</td>
<td>Enforcement for growth was non-existent and housing prices continued their steep rise</td>
<td>While nearly all of CT’s towns took advantage of the planning funding to review their zoning, only 2 towns (both lower-income) zoned for density (TOD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 2021, MA passed 40A, changing the incentive to a requirement that all towns with a transit stop rezone for TOD</td>
<td>Since roughly 2018, CA has mandated many statewide changes to ensure cooperation in housing production: now as many as 124 CA towns are soon to be subject to &quot;builder's remedy,&quot; which will result in tens of thousands of new units</td>
<td>CT’s 8-30g, which allows denser projects as-of-right if towns have not hit affordability targets, has been more effective in producing new housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To get there, our plan relies on three key strategies

Based on the last decade, we anticipate that baseline growth would be roughly **400,000 new homes** without any new actions.

To meet the projected need for an **additional 400,000 new homes**, the Compact relies on three main strategies:

- **Everyone Does Their Part**
  - Statewide New Home Targets
  - Unlock ~149K homes

- **New Homes Near Transit**
  - Transit Oriented Development throughout MTA region
  - Unlock ~190K homes

- **Supporting localities to grow or stabilize**
  - Tax incentives, office conversions, basement apt legalization, and other funds
  - Unlock ~56K homes
Funding available for municipalities

- $20M in planning and technical assistance grants to municipalities
- $250M in initial infrastructure funding to support new development, in addition to existing resources for infrastructure
- This funding complements existing State infrastructure funding (Environmental Bond Act, Water Infrastructure Improvement funding, Clean Water State Revolving Fund, Consolidated Local Street and Highway Improvement Program, etc)
Detailed Solutions for New York's Housing Shortage
Everyone Does Their Part

New Home Targets
Targets for new housing for all municipalities

- Targets balance need, regional variation, and simplicity
- In order for our communities (urban, suburban and rural) to thrive in the future, we need to create the opportunities for households in all stages of life

- 3% growth in housing stock every three years, *downstate*
- 1% growth in housing stock every three years, *upstate*
In most of NYS, the targets will be below 100 homes over 3 years.

88% below 100 homes

79% below 50 homes

NYS Localities Outside NYC

- 64%
- 15%
- 9%
- 6%
- 4%
- 1.8%

Below 25 homes
Between 26 & 50 homes
Between 51 & 100 homes
Between 101 & 200 homes
Between 201 & 500 homes
Greater than 500 homes
Every municipality can choose to flexibly increase housing capacity through rezonings

- Rezone for Multifamily Development
- Rezone for Existing Commercial Areas
- As-of-right Lot Splits
- Accessory Dwelling Units
- Remove Exclusionary Measures

- HCR Planning office established to assist localities
- Localities chose how and where to increase housing options
- If at least two zoning actions are taken, municipalities get an additional 3 years before they need to start meeting growth targets
Cities, towns and villages will design the rezoning rules for housing capacity in the way that best suits their community.

A locality may choose to rezone commercial areas like a strip mall or an office parks for mixed residential and commercial use.

A locality may choose to rezone so that ADUs can be allowed on any property.

A locality may choose to rezone for duplexes and triplexes.
Affordability is built into the framework

Our plan relies on growth targets *and* drives affordability

We need *both* market *and* below-market housing

**For example:**
If an Upstate town has ~10,000 homes, their target would be ~100 homes permitted over three years

The town could permit **100** homes to achieve the target **OR**

The town could permit **50** affordable, regulated homes to achieve the target
If a municipality or community district has not undertaken rezonings within the 3-year cycle, fast tracked approval process kicks in

1. Projects including a minimum number of homes (10 Upstate or 20 Downstate) and a minimum affordability requirement (20% of units at or below 50% AMI or 25% of units at or below 80% AMI) or includes supportive housing can be fast tracked.

2. If denied, the developer can initiate a fast-track appeal either to a state-level Housing Review Board (HRB) or through the courts.

3. Project must be approved unless locality demonstrates either (1) a valid health/safety reason for denial, (2) that the locality implemented two Preferred Actions or hit growth targets in the previous three-year cycle, (3) the locality has since constructed sufficient units to meet the growth target, or (4) the project was not eligible for fast track.
Options for “safe harbor” that avoid fast track approval

**Prep Cycle (2024-2026)**
- All localities will have safe harbor (fast track approval would not apply) for the first three years after bill passage (2024 – 2026).
- Localities are encouraged to enact two or more preferred action rezonings during the prep cycle to increase their housing capacity and/or permit enough homes to meet their growth targets.

**Initial Cycle (2027 – 2029)**
- If a locality met its growth targets EITHER in the prep cycle OR in the three years prior (i.e., from 2021 through 2023) OR enacted at least two preferred zoning actions, fast track approval would not apply.
- During the initial cycle, localities must either enact two or more preferred action rezonings or meet their growth targets to achieve safe harbor for the next cycle.

**Health and Safety Exceptions**
- No locality will be required to approve projects, and the HRB/courts will not have authority to approve projects, that do not meet key health and safety standards. Localities can request infrastructure funding for a range of items, including sewers, parking, water treatment, etc.
New Homes Near Transit

Transit Oriented Development
New York has made unprecedented investments in commuter rail infrastructure serving communities with little housing growth and substantial capacity.

Source: RPA via Rauch Foundation, 2019
Municipalities with rail transit must rezone near stop

• Within 3 years, any municipality with an MTA rail transit stop (including NYC but not seasonal stops) that does not already meet the density must **rezone** within ½ mile of the stop

• If municipalities do not rezone within 3 years, developments within the transit area will be allowed as of right up to the density of the tier where it is located

• Funding will be available for municipalities to undertake the rezonings
## Tiered density requirements tied to commuting distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from NYC border</th>
<th>Aggregate density within ½ mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 15 miles</td>
<td>50 homes/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 15 and 30 miles</td>
<td>30 homes/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 30 and 50 miles</td>
<td>20 homes/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond 50 miles</td>
<td>15 homes/acre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Built density:** Advocates have illustrated the tiered nature of the density options, even within the same TOD zone

Advocates argue that many historic downtowns already approach these densities but because of subsequent downzonings, these areas would be illegal to build now (would be non-conforming)
What does 50 homes on an acre look like?

Tier 1: 50 homes / acre within 15 miles of NYC

*Illustrations via New York Housing Conference/ARUP
Illustrative TOD examples in NYS context

Tier 3: 20 homes / acre between 30 and 50 miles of NYC

*Illustrations via New York Housing Conference/ARUP
Regulation and Tax Relief

Slashing Red Tape
NYC will have flexibility to allow more office conversions

- “New” New York Panel is focused on the revitalization of central business districts
- City Taskforce re Adaptive Reuse of Office is focused on necessary regulatory changes for conversions
- Office to residential conversions are already permitted for a subset of commercial buildings that meet certain age and geography requirements under Article 1 Chapter 5 of the Zoning Resolution.
- Within NYC, owners of office buildings built before 1990 will be able to use existing rule to convert office spaces into housing
- The changes are anticipated to unlock the potential for 120 million new square feet of residential space
NYC will have flexibility to legalize more basement units

• Following the devastation wrought by Hurricane Ida, it was clear to all that there was an urgent need to create a pathway to legalize basement dwellings currently barred by law, bringing these homes out of the shadows.

• The proposal provides the City of New York with the authority to provide amnesty by local law for existing basement units that meet health and safety standards to be determined by the City.

• This change builds on the Fiscal Year 2023 $85 million capital program in the Governor’s housing plan to assist with these conversions in New York State.
Create incentives for housing production throughout the state

The proposed tax incentive programs include:

- Modify PILOT rules through regulations so that residential PILOTS do not count negatively towards the tax cap
- Authorize property tax exemptions outside NYC to incentivize affordable housing
- Authorize property tax exemptions specifically for ADUs (similar to existing home improvement exemption)
- Incentivizing affordable units in commercial conversions in NYC
- Authorize exemption for capital investments to existing affordable residential buildings in NYC
New Yorkers agree that implementing the strategies in the Compact is the right thing for New York and our families.

Recent polling found that 74% of likely voters support reforming housing approvals, 67% of likely voters support transit oriented development, and 65% of likely voters support implementing new homes targets.

Sources: Data for Progress, Slingshot Strategies
Stabilizing Communities
Comprehensive Lead Poisoning Prevention Initiative

• Building on a successful model that reduced the number of children with elevated blood lead levels in Rochester, the new program to eliminate lead paint poisoning will expand to the 24 highest risk municipalities across the state

• Require two-family and multi-family rental units built before 1980 in high-risk areas to verify lead safety through a lead risk assessment every three years, tracked in a comprehensive rental registry maintained by local health departments

• Landlord assistance for required risk assessments and remediation of lead hazards will be made available via grants
The Rochester Lead Prevention Program has delivered 6.5-fold drop in the number of children with elevated blood lead levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Children Received EBILL 5-&lt;10ug/Dl</th>
<th>Children Received EBILL&gt;=10ug/dl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3,103</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2,562</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,138</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,853</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,653</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,338</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,057</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NYS DOH Leadweb database, City of Rochester
The initiative will focus on the 24 municipalities identified as highest risk from age of housing and socioeconomic factors.

*Not including NYC*
Empower local governments to stabilize communities by addressing vacant properties

• As part of HCR’s 5-year housing plan, we allocated **$50M** to support **land banks** throughout the state, providing additional opportunities for local organizations to actively support neighborhood revitalization efforts.

• As raised by local leaders, particularly in rural areas, the budget includes a proposal to add flexibility to local governments’ ability to **reclaim abandoned residential properties** (19A update).

• The updated tools help localities take ownership of dangerous properties by clarifying and streamlining key processes to certify that vacant residences have been abandoned.

Albany County Land Bank Before and After
Provide home repair funds in areas with high concentrations of low-income homeowners of color

- Modeled on the Buffalo East Homeownership Improvement Program
- The Homeowner Stabilization Fund will target 10 communities with high levels of low-income homeowners of color and high levels of homeowner distress
- The initiative’s goal is to stabilize both homeowners and neighborhoods, increasing property values, preserving equity and promoting generational wealth building
- Localities would partner with local non-profits to provide grant assistance to individual homeowners to undertake repairs on their homes
- The fund would provide improvements and stabilization of up to 200 homes per community
Expand HCR’s Tenant Protection Unit

- The Housing Stability and Tenant Protection Act (HSTPA) of 2019 enacted tenant protections for nearly 85,000 households in nearly 1,800 manufactured home parks, including via authorization of municipalities to opt into the Emergency Tenant Protection Act and implement rent stabilization for additional tenants.

- Expanding the TPU unit to have on the ground capacity upstate will help the tenants in manufactured housing parks advocate for their rights, as well as improve access to grants available for farmworker housing.

- Staff would be available for towns seeking to opt into the HSTPA, and publicize and advise on the farmworker housing programs.
Now is the time for action for a better future for NY

400,000 families housed
1.2M new jobs

Last decade

800,000 families
(seniors, children, young adults) housed

Millions more new jobs

NY’s next decade

New Rochelle, NY

Patchogue, NY

Farmingdale, NY

Buffalo, NY